

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,443	02/19/2002	Ying Ma	064422-5002	9165
9629 75	90 03/15/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			WEBMAN, EDWARD J	
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/079,443	MA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Edward J. Webman	1616				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-9 and 11-13</u> is/are rejected.						
·=	7) Claim(s) <u>2,5,10,14 and 15</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) diplected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/079,443

Art Unit: 1616

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narula et al (US 6,146,602) in view of Benazzi et al (US 5,695,735) Narula et al teaches a method of making mesoporous aluminum oxide molecular sieves by a sol-gel technique using a neutral surfactant with alkoxides, water and an alcohol, preferably sec-butanol (abstract). Polyethylene oxide containing surfactants such as a Pluronic are specified (column 3 lines 41-49). A ratio of 1 alkoxide:0.1 Pluronic: 2 water: sec-butanol 25% is disclosed (column 5 lines 29-32). Dissolving the alkoxide and Pluronic, stirring, addition of a second solvent, mixing, standing overnight, filtering, and washing is specified (example 1 column 7 et seq.). Applicants disclose pyrolizing at 500-600 degrees C as their claimed evaporations step (page 11 lines 4-7). The surfactant acts as a structuring agent (column 6 lines 25-29). However, Narula et al do not teach aging for 2-7 days.

Benazzi et al teach a process for synthesis of mesoporous solids including alumina or silica comprising including a structuring agent and heating to a range of 20-200 degrees C for a period of several minutes to several days (abstract). Improved stability is disclosed (column 3 lines 10-15, column 1 lines 27-47). An organic structuring agent is specified (column 3 line s 36-27).

Application/Control Number: 10/079,443

Art Unit: 1616

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the process of Narula et al to include heating at a range of 20-200 degrees C over a period of several minutes to several days rather than standing overnight to achieve the beneficial effect of improved stability in view of Benazzi et et al. As to the claimed aging, applicants disclose this step as heating from 70-95 degrees C for 2-7 days, which overlaps the ranges temperature and time of Benazzi et al. As to the claimed washing, filtering and drying steps, Narula et al teach washing and filtering; the positioning of these steps and the addition of a drying step are immaterial variations to the Narula et al method. As to the particular claimed amounts of solvents, it is argued that one of ordinary skill could arrive at optimum amounts by routine experimentation, absent a showing that such amounts are critical.

As to the now claimed capability of forming micelles, Ranney is cited as extrinsic evidence that Pluronic forms micelles (see claim 4).

Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 11-13 are rejected. Claims 2, 3, 5, 10, 14-15 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected claim.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/079,443

Art Unit: 1616

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 571-272-0633. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDWARD J. WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINEP GROUP 1500